Application of PZC to ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re Generators

H. Matsuoka,^{*,a} K. Hashimoto,^a Y. Hishinuma,^b K. Ishikawa,^b H. Terunuma,^b K. Tatenuma,^b and S. Uchida^c

^aDepartment of Research Reactor, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai-mura, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan ^bKAKEN Co., Mito-shi, Ibaraki-ken, 310-0903, Japan ^cTokyo Nuclear Service, Co., Tokai-mura, Ibaraki-ken, 319-1112, Japan

Received: July 31, 2005; In Final Form: September 30, 2005

Applicability of newly developed PZC (poly zirconium compound) to an adsorbent for a ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re generator system was investigated for long term. The PZC generator gave reproducible ¹⁸⁸Re elution yields (60–75%) with 0.03% of ¹⁸⁸W parent breakthrough during 154 days corresponding to twice of the half-life of ¹⁸⁸W (69.4 d). Furthermore, the labeling yields of hydroxyethyliden diphosphonic acid and mercaptoacetyltriglycine with ¹⁸⁸Re eluted from the PZC column were high enough and there was no significant different with the results using ¹⁸⁸Re eluted from the alumina system. The ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re generator prepared by PZC indicated a good performance for the practical use.

1. Introduction

Rhenium-188 ($T_{1/2} = 17.0$ h) is an attractive radionuclide for radiotherapy and can be obtained at carrier-free levels from β decay of the long-lived ¹⁸⁸W (69.4 d) produced by the double neutron capture of ¹⁸⁶W in a reactor.^{1,2} The ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re generators are of particular interest,³ since ¹⁸⁸Re can be produced repeatedly at a low cost during a long period for the synthesis of labeled compounds. However, the relatively large volume of 0.9% NaCl are required for the elution of ¹⁸⁸Re from traditional alumina-based ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re generators because the parent ¹⁸⁸W is produced in a relatively low specific activity by the double neutron capture reaction of ¹⁸⁶W with the low cross sections. Therefore, methods for concentration of ¹⁸⁸Re solution from alumina-based ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re generators have developed by a tandem cation-anion column system⁴ or by a single anion exchange column system.^{5, 6}

On the other hand, newly developed poly zirconium compound (PZC)⁷ as the adsorbent of ⁹⁹Mo has more than 100 times higher adsorption capacity of molybdenum than alumina. PZC enables to prepare a more compact ⁹⁹Mo/^{99m}Tc generator than alumina. Development of compact ⁹⁹Mo/^{99m}Tc generators by using PZC has been progressed in the project of FNCA (Forum for Nuclear Cooperation in Asia).⁸ In this study, applicability of PZC to an adsorbent for a ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re generator system- the adsorption behavior of ¹⁸⁸W was investigated for long term (about 5 months). Labeling yields of hydroxyethylidene diphosphonic acid (HEDP) and mercaptoacetyltriglycine (MAG3) with ¹⁸⁸Re obtained from the PZC-based generators were compared with those with ¹⁸⁸Re obtained from aluminabased generators.

2. Experimental

PZC was synthesized through the thermal condensation reaction between $ZrCl_4$ and isopropyl alcohol (PrOH) according to the procedure of Tanase et al.⁷ Two different lots of PZC which have 483 and 526 mg W/g PZC of the maximum adsorption capacity of W were used in this study.

2.1. Production of ¹⁸⁸W.² Tungusten-188 was produced by the double neutron capture reaction of ¹⁸⁶W. The target material

was 99.79% enriched ¹⁸⁶WO₃ (ISOTEC Inc., USA or Euriso-top, France). The target (25–50 mg) in a quartz ampoule was irradiated for 26–52 days in JMTR (thermal neutron flux, 2.7×10^{14} cm⁻²·s⁻¹). The irradiated target was allowed to stand for more than 4 weeks for decay of ¹⁸⁷W (23.72 h). The irradiated target was dissolved in 2 M NaOH with heating. The specific activity of ¹⁸⁸W was 5~13 MBq/mgWO₃ at the end of bombardment.

2.2. Preparation of ¹⁸⁸**W**/¹⁸⁸**Re generators by using PZC.** Preparation of ¹⁸⁸**W**/¹⁸⁸**Re generators based on PZC was shown** in the Scheme 1. Na₂WO₄·2H₂O which corresponds to the maximum adsorption capacity of W to 1 g of PZC, PZC and the ¹⁸⁸W solution (1.3–4.1 MBq) were added and pH was adjusted to about 7 with 0.1 M HCl. The solution was heated to 90 °C for 3 hours with occasional stirring. After eliminating the fine powdered PZC by decantation, the PZC adsorbed ¹⁸⁸W was packed to a glass column. The PZC column (8 mm $\phi \times 24-32$ mm) was then washed with normal saline. Rhenium-188 was eluted with normal saline after the radioequilibrium between ¹⁸⁸W and ¹⁸⁸Re had almost reached. The radioactivity of ¹⁸⁸Re was determined by γ -ray spectroscopy using a calibrated HPGe detector.



^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: matsuoka.hiromitsu@jaea. go.jp. FAX: +81-29-282-5932.

The 188 W/ 188 Re alumina generator was prepared as described in the literature.³

2.3. Preparation of ¹⁸⁸**Re-HEDP and** ¹⁸⁸**Re-MAG3.** ¹⁸⁸**Re**-HEDP ⁹: HEDP was purchased from Kishida Chemical Co., Japan. To an HEDP aqueous solution (35.8 mg/280 µL), distilled water (504 µL), *l*-ascorbic acid (4.56 mg), 1 M HCl (336 µL), 400 µL of a ¹⁸⁸Re solution from the generators (PZC and alumina), and 120 µL of a stannous chloride solution (3.80 mg/ mL of SnCl₂ · 2H₂O/0.6 M HCl) were added. The reaction mixture was allowed to react in boiling water for 30 min. The final pH of the reaction mixture was 0.7. Radiochemical yield of ¹⁸⁸Re-HEDP was determined silica gel TLC (Merck No. 5735/acetone) and paper chromatography (Whatman No. 1/0.9% NaCl). The distribution of ¹⁸⁸Re in TLC and PC was measured with a radioanalytic imaging system (AMBIS-100).

¹⁸⁸Re-MAG3¹⁰: To 0.55 mg of S-Bz-MAG3, 450 µL of 0.1 M HCl and 450 µL of a freshly prepared SnCl₂·2H₂O in 0.1 M citrate-buffer (pH = 5) (6 mg / mL of $SnCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$) were added. The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred by ultrasonic waves and 300 μL of a ^{188}Re solution from the generators was added. After stirring the solution by vortex, the mixture in a closed vial was allowed to react in boiling water for 30 min. The mixture was cooled on ice for 5-10 min. The final pH of the reaction mixture was 2.4. After the solution was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter, radiochemical yields of ¹⁸⁸Re-MAG3 were determined by HPLC (Hypersil BDS-5C18, 4.6×150 mm, Chemco Science Co., Japan) using 4% EtOH - 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7) at 1 mL/min of a flow rate. The liquid chromatograph used was a Waters 2690 separations module equipped with a Waters 996 photodiode array detector and a radio-HPLC detector (Packard Radiomatic 515TR).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Adsorption yield of ¹⁸⁸W **to the PZC column.** After an non-adsorbed ¹⁸⁸W was removed by the decantation and washing the column with 0.9% NaCl, adsorption yield of ¹⁸⁸W to the PZC column was determined. Adsorption yield of ¹⁸⁸W to PZC was 70–95% and was almost the same as that of ⁹⁹Mo.⁸

3.2. Elution behavior of ¹⁸⁸Re from the PZC generator. Elution profile of ¹⁸⁸Re from the PZC generator with 0.9% NaCl solution was investigated 12 days to 154 days after the column preparation, as shown in Figure 1. More than 90% of ¹⁸⁸Re was eluted from the PZC generator in the first 4 mL of the effluents, however no ¹⁸⁸Re was eluted from the alumina-based generator in the first few milliliters (the volume depends on column size). It is due to the fact that ¹⁸⁸W distributed uniformly in the PZC column whereas ¹⁸⁸W adsorbed on the top of alumina column. Furthermore, the elution profile was reproducible for 154 days after the column preparation. The flow rate of generators was influenced by the conditions of column preparation and was different ranging from 0.4 to 2.4 mL/min. However, the difference of the flow rate of generators in this range did not influence the elution profile of ¹⁸⁸Re.

Figure 2 shows the elution yields of ¹⁸⁸Re from the PZC generators. Though the elution yield of ¹⁸⁸Re decreased gradually from 75% with increasing elapsed time, it was 56–60% even after 154 days. Decrease of the elution yield with increasing



Figure 2. Elution yield of ¹⁸⁸Re.



Figure 1. Elution behavior of ¹⁸⁸Re from the PZC generator (PZC column: $8 \text{ mm}\phi \times 32 \text{ mm}$, alumina column: $10 \text{ mm}\phi \times 60 \text{ mm}$).

elapsed time was also observed for ⁹⁹Mo/^{99m}Tc PZC generators.⁷ And, the same phenomenon was also observed for the alumina based ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re generator systems.^{5, 11} The elution yield of ¹⁸⁸Re from the alumina generator decreased gradually from 80% to 64% within 3 months.⁵

3.3. Breakthrough of ¹⁸⁸W. Breakthrough of ¹⁸⁸W was defined as the ratio of ¹⁸⁸W radioactivity to ¹⁸⁸Re radioactivity in the ¹⁸⁸Re effluents. The radioactivity of ¹⁸⁸W in the ¹⁸⁸Re effluent at the 3rd milking (27 days after the column preparation) was determined by extrapolation of the radioactivity of the daughter ¹⁸⁸Re after decaying the initial ¹⁸⁸Re, as shown in Figure 3. The breakthrough of ¹⁸⁸W was 0.03% and two times higher than ⁹⁹Mo breakthrough of Radiopharmaceuticals Low Limit of Japan (0.015%). However, the ¹⁸⁸W breakthrough can be reduced by using an alumina column as the second column.¹²

3.4. Labeling of HEDP and MAG3 with ¹⁸⁸**Re.** Labeling of HEDP and MAG3 with ¹⁸⁸Re eluted from the PZC generator and the alumina-based generator was investigated. The labeling yield of ¹⁸⁸Re-HEDP and ¹⁸⁸Re-MAG3 was more than 90%, respectively. There are no significant different in the labeling yield of ¹⁸⁸Re-HEDP and ¹⁸⁸Re-MAG3 prepared with different ¹⁸⁸Re source.



Figure 3. Determination of ¹⁸⁸W breakthrough in the ¹⁸⁸Re effluent.

4. Conclusion

The adsorption yield of ¹⁸⁸W to PZC was 70–95%. More than 90% of ¹⁸⁸Re was eluted in the first 4 mL. The ¹⁸⁸Re elution yields were 60–75% during 154 days corresponding to twice of the half-life of ¹⁸⁸W (69.4 d). The parent ¹⁸⁸W breakthrough was 0.03% by measuring γ -rays of the daughter ¹⁸⁸Re. And, the labeling yields of HEDP and MAG3 with ¹⁸⁸Re eluted from the PZC column were high enough and equal to the results using ¹⁸⁸Re eluted from the alumina system. The ¹⁸⁸W/¹⁸⁸Re PZC generator prepared with a low radioactivity (a few MBq) of ¹⁸⁸W indicated a good performance for the practical use. However, further investigation on various kinds of characteristics which might be affected by higher radioactivity of ¹⁸⁸W is needed.

References

- (1) F. F. Knapp Jr., A. P. Callahan, A. L. Beets, S. Mirzadeh, and B.-T. Hsieh, Appl. Radiat. Isot. 45, 1123 (1994).
- (2) K. Kobayashi, S. Motoishi, K. Terunuma, A. A. Rauf, and K. Hashimoto, Radiochmistry **42**, 551 (2000).
- (3) A. P. Callahan, D. E. Rice, and F. F. Knapp, Jr., NucCompact 20, 3 (1989).
- (4) S. Guhlke, A. L. Beets, K. Oetjen, S. Mirzadeh, H.-J. Biersack, and F. F. Knapp Jr., J. Nucl. Med. 41, 1271 (2000).
- (5) H. Kamioki, S. Mirzadeh, R. M. Lambrecht, R. Knapp, Jr., and K. Dadachova, Radiochim. Acta 65, 39 (1994).
- (6) A. Mushtaq, Nucl. Med. Commun. 25, 957 (2004).
- (7) M. Tanase, K. Tatenuma, K. Ishikawa, K. Kurosawa, M. Nishino, and Y. Hasegawa, Appl. Radiat. Isot. 48, 607 (1997).
- (8) Proceedings of the FNCA 2003 Workshop on the Utilization of Research Reactors, January 12–16, 2004, Jakarta– Serpong, Indonesia.
- (9) K. Hashimoto, Appl. Radiat. Isot. 49, 351 (1998).
- (10) S. Guhlke, A. Schaffland, P. O. Zamora, J. Sartor, D. Diekmann, H. Bender, F. F. Knapp, and H.-J. Biersack, Nucl. Med. Biol. 25, 621 (1998).
- (11) B.-T. Hsieh, A. P. Callahan, A. L. Beets, G. Ting, and F. F. Knapp Jr., Appl. Radiat. Isot. **47**, 23 (1996).
- (12) A. P. Callahan, D. E. Rice, D. W. Mcpherson, S. Mirzadeh, and F. F. kanpp Jr., Appl. Radiat. Isot. **43**, 801 (1992).