The Nature of Bonding of Hyperlithiated Molecules Beyond the Octet Rule

Hiroshi Kudo*

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

Received: November 2, 2001

Following an overview on the nature of bonding of such hypervalent molecules as Li_aA (Li₆C, Li₃O, Li₄O, Li₃S, Li_4S , Li_4P) and M_2CN (M = Li, Na, K), the present paper deals with the molecular and electronic structures of newly found lithium-rich Li₂F and Li₂OH molecules as well as Li₂F_{n-1} (n = 3, 4) and Li_n(OH)_{n-1} (n = 3-5) clusters which have been detected in supersonic beams effusing from a laser ablation source. The ionization energies (IEs) determined by photoionization were 3.78 ± 0.2 eV for Li₂F, 4.32 ± 0.2 eV for Li₃F₂, and 4.30 ± 0.2 eV for Li₄F₃. Agreements of these IEs with theoretical ones calculated by *ab initio* MO methods support that Li₂F is in a hyperlithiated configuration (HLC) in which the excess electron delocalizes over the two lithiums, while Li_3F_2 and Li_4F_3 are in a segregated configuration (SC) comprising ionic and non-ionic lithiums resulting from localization of the excess valence electron. Ionization efficiency curves (IECs) measured for $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ (n = 2-5) are well reproduced with a simulation involving Franck-Condon factors, and this enabled us to identify the global-minimum structure of these species predicted by theoretical calculations with the DFT method. The IEs determined were 4.053 ± 0.003 eV for Li₂OH in HLC, 3.687 ± 0.003 eV for Li₃(OH)₂ in HLC, 4.133 ± 0.003 eV for Li₃(OH)₂ in SC, and 3.418 ± 0.009 eV for Li₄(OH)₃ in SC, and 3.60 ± 0.11 eV for Li₅(OH)₄ in SC. Also, IE of Li₃O was determined as 3.59 ± 0.02 eV from reinvestigation with the photoionization technique. Furthermore, Li₃O was found to be a floppy molecule sharing both the D_{3h} and C_{2v} structures from a precise analysis of the observed IEC taking account of the potential energy surface for both neutral and cationic Li₃O. This is the first experimental evidence for "electronomers" or electronic isomers of Li₃O, which have nearly the same stability but are different in localization of the SOMO. It is eventually stressed that delocalization of the excess valence electron over all of the lithium atoms in a molecule is essential to afford hyperlithiated molecules and that the shape of SOMO or HOMO, which accommodates the excess valence electron or electrons, plays a key role in determining the stability of hyperlithiated molecules.

1. Introduction

Comprehensive understanding of the nature of hyperlyalent bonding is a subject of current interest since the discovery of Li₃O in the equilibrium vapor over Li₂O crystals at elevated temperatures in 1978 by Kudo, Wu, and Ihle with Knudseneffusion mass spectrometry.^{1, 2} This molecule possessing nine valence electrons, at least formally, was found to be stable toward dissociation to the corresponding octet molecule Li₂O. For a molecular system with covalent bonds of light elements, the octet rule states that the most stable molecule is formed when eight electrons are shared in the valence shell.^{3, 4} All of the hydrides of elements in the second- and third-row of the periodic table obey the rule. However, replacement of hydrogen atoms by lithium atoms in such hydrides as CH₄, NH₃, H₂O, and H₂S changes the nature of bonding. In fact, further experiments by our group⁷⁻¹⁴ as well as theoretical work by Schleyer et al.¹⁵⁻²⁷ have confirmed the existence of thermodynamically stable polylithiated molecules with nine or more valence electrons; e.g., Li₃S and Li₄P with nine valence electrons and Li₆C, Li₄O, and Li₄S with 10 valence electrons. These molecules possessing excess valence electrons and stability toward dissociation are called "hyperlithiated" or hypervalent molecules. Does the hyperlithiated molecule violate the octet rule?

Extended search for hyperlithiated molecule by Knudseneffusion mass spectrometry gave evidence for another type of hyperlithiated or hypervalent molecules M_2CN (M = Li, Na, K), in which the bonding situation was apparently different from that of Li_nA ; i.e., Li₆C, Li₃O, Li₄O, Li₃S, Li₄S, Li₄P.²⁸⁻³² Although Schleyer¹⁷ predicted the existence of other hyperlithiated molecules like Li₄N, Li₅N, Li₂F, and Li₃F from his theoretical calculations, it was hard to get fruitful experimental results with Knudsen-effusion mass spectrometry for these species. Recently, however, we have detected hyperlithiated Li₂F and Li₂OH molecules as well as lithium-rich clusters Li₂F_{n-1} (n = 3, 4) and Li_n(OH)_{n-1} (n = 3-6) in supersonic beams effusing from a laser ablation source.³³⁻³⁶

Investigation of molecular and electronic structures of the $Li_n F_{n-1}$ and $Li_n (OH)_{n-1}$ systems with excess valence electrons are interesting from a viewpoint of the metal-insulator transition. Recently, experimental and theoretical studies have extensively been carried out to understand the mechanism of metal-insulator transitions, much attention being paid to transitional electronic characters between metal and ionic crystals in non-stoichiometric clusters.37 For non-stoichiometric clusters with excessive metal atoms, segregation into metallic and ionic parts is envisaged. From the measurement of ionization energies (IEs) for $\text{Li}_n H_m$ (n = 2-11, m = 1-3), for instance, Vezin et al. reported structures segregated into metallic Li_{n-m} and ionic $(LiH)_{m}^{38}$ Bonacic-Koutecký et al. confirmed the segregation by *ab initio* MO calculations.³⁹ Lievens et al. measured IEs of $Li_nO(n = 2-70)$ as a function of the cluster size *n*, and found distinct steps at $n = 10, 22, and 42.^{40}$ These steps are in agreement with a prediction of the shell model for metallic clusters, assuming that two of the lithium valence electrons localize on the oxygen atom. This indicates that the clusters are segregated into metallic Li_{n-2} and ionic Li_2O . Other examples of segregation were reported for Li_nC (n = 2-70),⁴¹ Li_nH_m⁺ [m = $1-6, n \le 22, (n-m) > 3$,^{42, 43} Cs_mO_n (0 < m < n, n = 3-14)⁴⁴, and $Na_n F_{n-1}$.

^{*}E-mail: kudoh@mail.cc.tohoku.ac.jp. FAX: +81-22-217-6597.

Alkali halide clusters with one excess alkali atom, $M_n X_{n-1}$, tend to leave the excess electron localize at a specific site.^{37, 46–50} The electron localization is one of the important aspects of segregation. The most part of $M_n X_{n-1}$ clusters is ionically bound (ionic part) in contrast to the metal excessive clusters such as Li_nO ($n \ge 8$), where the metallic part prevails.⁴⁰ For instance, Durand et al. revealed the electron-localization in Na_nF_{n-1} (n = 2-29) clusters based on a one-electron pseudopotential calculation, and reported that the measured IEs reflected faithfully the localization character of the excess electron.⁴⁹

In the present paper, I will first briefly summarize the nature of bonding of such hypervalent molecules as Li_nA (Li₆C, Li₃O, Li_4O , Li_3S , Li_4S , Li_4P) and M_2CN (M = Li, Na, K), which were detected in equilibrium vapors over solid or liquid samples by Knudsen-effusion mass spectrometry combined with electron impact ionization. This method has an advantage for determining the dissociation energy of the detected species, although it has some disadvantages for obtaining precise values of IE when we use the electron impact ionization. Next, I will discuss on the molecular and electronic structures of hyperlithiated Li₂F and Li₂OH molecules as well as lithiumrich clusters $\text{Li}_2 F_{n-1}$ (*n* = 3, 4) and Li_n (OH)_{*n*-1} (*n* = 3-5) detected in supersonic beams effusing from a laser ablation source. The experiments were performed by a time-of-flight mass spectrometer in which species in the supersonic beam were ionized with pulses from a dye laser pumped by an Nd:YAG laser.³⁶ This sophisticated method is advantageous in determining IEs of these species more accurately. A detailed analysis of ionization efficiency curves (IECs) measured by



Figure 1. Features of SOMO in $\text{Li}_3S(C_{2\nu})$ and HOMO in $\text{Li}_4S(C_{2\nu})$ as well as molecular structures deduced from theoretical calculations at UHF/STO-3G level; roman letters are the bond length in Å and italic letters the natural charge.

photoionization enables us to identify the global-minimum structure of the clusters existing in the supersonic beam. Furthermore, I will interpret the molecular and electronic structures of the Li_3O molecule reinvestigated by an IEC analysis combined with simulations.

2. Li_nA (Li_6C , Li_3O , Li_4O , Li_3S , Li_4S , Li_4P) and M_2CN (M = Li, Na, K) Molecules

Despite their unusual stoichiometries, the Li_nA molecules (Li₆C, Li₃O, Li₄O, Li₃S, Li₄S and Li₄P) are stable toward dissociation to the corresponding octet molecules.^{1, 2, 7-14} The dissociation energies are summarized in Table 1. The results of *ab* initio MO calculations indicate that occupancy of the nine valence electrons in Li₃S, for instance, is $(5a_1)^2(3e)^4(6a_1)^2(7a_1)^1$ and that of 10 valence electrons in Li_4S is $(6a_1)^2(3b_1)^2(7a_1)^2$ $(3b_2)^2(8a_1)^2$. The highest orbital $(7a_1)$ of Li₃S is singly occupied orbital (SOMO) and the $8a_1$ orbital of Li₄S is HOMO. The excess valence electrons accommodate in SOMO or HOMO. Either one and two excess valence electrons in these molecules delocarize over all of the lithium atoms and contribute to the formation of a Li_n^{m+} cage with Li-Li bonds. Figure 1 depicts the features of SOMO in Li₃S and HOMO in Li₄S deduced from theoretical calculations at the UHF/STO-3G level.¹⁴ The SOMO of Li₃S seems to build the cage. The widely spreading HOMO of Li₄S suggests more clearly the lithium cage formation in the molecule. The SOMO of Li₃S and HOMO of Li₄S are antibonding between the Li and S atoms, but are bonding between all pairs of lithium atoms in these molecules. In spite of the antibonding character of SOMO and HOMO, the central S atom is bound to lithiums through an electrostatic interaction.

Charge populations calculated by the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis⁵¹ on the central atoms in Li_nA were –0.93 for C in Li₆C, –0.87 for O in Li₃O, –1.89 for S in Li₃S, and –1.92 for S in Li₄S. The calculated charges on C, O, and S do not increase appreciably as more Li atoms are added; e.g., Li₄C (C, –0.81), Li₂O (O, –0.81), and Li₂S (S, –1.84). The fact indicates that the excess valence electron is not associated with the central atom and that the central atom remains content with its normal octet.^{5, 15} For hyperlithiated Li_nA molecules, therefore, it is concluded that the electronegative central atom (A^{*m*-}) is embedded in the Li_n^{*m*+} cage. Although the Li-A bond is antibonding in character, the electrostatic attraction between Li_n^{*m*+} and A^{*m*-} would play a role in enhancing the overall stability of neutral Li_nA molecules.

As listed in Table 1, M_2CN (M = Li, Na, K) molecules with one excess valence electron are all stable toward the dissociation to give M and MCN.^{28–32} Of these hypervalent molecules,

| Number of excess | Molecule (Point group) | $D_0^{\circ/H}$ $M_n A(g) \rightarrow$ | A J mol $M_{n-1}A(g) + M(g)$ | $\frac{\mathrm{IE/eV}}{\mathrm{M}_n\mathrm{A}\to\mathrm{M}_n\mathrm{A}^+}$ | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|---------------|
| valence electrons | | Theor. | Expt. | Theor. ^a | Expt. |
| 1 | $Li_{3}O(D_{3h})$ | 198.3 ^b | 212 ± 42 | 3.48 ^b | 3.6 ± 0.1 |
| | $Li_{3}S(C_{3v})$ | 148.5 | 138 ± 14 | 4.11 | 4.4 ± 0.2 |
| | $Li_4P(T_d)$ | 141.8 | 86 ± 24 | 3.40 ^b | - |
| 2 | $\text{CLi}_6(O_{\rm h})$ | (273) ^c | $(274 \pm 11)^{c}$ | 4.08 ^b | - |
| | $Li_4O(T_d)$ | 120.9 ^b | 197 ± 30 | 4.71 ^b | - |
| | Li_4S (C_{2v}) | 123.2 ^b | 212 ± 13 | 4.09 | - |
| 1 | Li_2CN (C_s) | 103.8 | 137 ± 14 | 5.13 | 5.4 ± 0.2 |
| | $Na_2CN(C_s)$ | 72.8 | 104 ± 14 | 4.66 | 4.9 ± 0.2 |
| | K_2CN (C_s) | 74.5 | 82 ± 8 | 3.70 | 4.5 ± 0.5 |

TABLE 1: Dissociation Energies (D_0°) and Ionization Energies (IE) of Hyperlithiated and Hypervalent Molecules

^a Vertical ionization energy (vIE).

^b Schleyer et al.^{5, 6, 15}

^c $CLi_6(g) \rightarrow CLi_4(g) + Li_2(g)$ process.

 $Li_2CN \mathbf{1}$ ($D_0^{\circ} = 137 \text{ kJ/mol}$) is the most stable. Each of M_2CN molecules has several structural isomers with a global minimum. Figure 2 illustrates molecular structures of all isomers of Li₂CN calculated at the MP2(FC)/6-31-G* level, together with the SOMOs of the planar 1 and linear 4 isomers. The optimized geometries calculated for Na₂CN and K₂CN at the MP2(FU)/6-31-G* level also gave four structural isomers to each molecule, similar to the case of Li₂CN. For M₂CN, the most stable isomer in the planar structure with C_s symmetry is a hypervalent molecule in which the excess valence electron delocalizes over the two alkali-metal atoms forming a M_2^+ cation. The IEs observed for these molecules, 5.4 ± 0.4 eV for Li₂CN, 4.9 ± 0.2 eV for Na₂CN, 4.5 ± 0.5 eV for K₂CN, are close to those for $\text{Li}_2 \rightarrow \text{Li}_2^+$ (4.9 eV), $\text{Na}_2 \rightarrow \text{Na}_2^+$ (4.9 eV), and $K_2 \rightarrow K_2^+$ (4.1 eV). The fact suggests that the ionization occurs from the SOMO. M₂CN molecules possessing the M₂⁺ unit in it can be described as $M_2^+CN^-$. On the other hand, the linear isomers of M₂CN, that are less stable than the planar isomers, would be complexes described as $M^+CN^- \cdot M$ and $M \cdot CN^{-}M^{+}$; these are "electronomers" or electronic isomers in which the localization of the excess valence electron is different each other.

3. Li₂F Molecule and Li₂F_{n-1} (n = 3, 4) Clusters

Although theoretically predicted hyperlithiated Li_2F was hardly observed with Knudsen-effusion mass spectrometry, it was eventually detected in a supersonic beam of vapors generated by laser ablation of a solid mixture of LiF and Li₃N.³³⁻³⁴



Figure 2. Structural isomers of Li_2CN and features of SOMO of the planar isomers $\mathbf{1} [Li_2^+(CN)^-]$ and the linear isomer $\mathbf{4} [Li \cdot (CN)^-Li^+]$ deduced from calculations at MP2(FC)/6-31-G*; roman letters are the bond length in Å and italic letters the natural charge.

In addition, new clusters $\text{Li}_n F_{n-1}$ (n = 3, 4) with an excess electron were detected in the same supersonic beam. Table 2 lists the IEs of Li_2F_{n-1} (n = 2-4) species determined by photoionization, together with the dissociation energy (D_0°) of the isomers calculated at the MP2(FULL)/6-311+G(d)//B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level of theory. Figure 3 illustrates the theoretically deduced structures of Li_nF_{n-1} (n = 2-4) isomers.

The observed IE of Li_2F (3.78 ± 0.3 eV) agrees well with the theoretical adiabatic IE (aIE = 3.9 eV). This fact verifies a result of theoretical calculations that the Li_2F molecule (**1a**) is one of the hyperlithiated molecules and the excess valence electron delocalizes on the two Li atoms. Also, the fact that IE of Li_2F is significantly lower than that of the Li atom (5.39 eV) is ascribed to the antibonding character of the SOMO between the Li and F atoms, as described by Gutsev and Boldyrev for "superalkali" species.⁵² Although the presence of the isomer **1b** is suggested theoretically, only the isomer **1a** existed in the supersonic beam because **1a** (D_0° = 147.4 kJ/mol) is more



Figure 3. Structural isomers of Li_2F_{n-1} (n = 2-4) obtained by calculations at B3LYP/6-311+G(d); roman letters are the bond length in Å and italic letters the natural charge.

| TABLE 2: Ionization | Energies and Dissociation | Energies of Li _n F _{n-1} | (n = 2-4) |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------|
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------|

| | Experimental IE/eV | imental Isomer /eV vIE/eV ^a | | Theoretical | | Classification ^c |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|-------|-----------------------------|
| | | | aIE/eV ^a | $D_0^{ m o}/{ m kJ}~{ m mol}^{-1}{ m b}$ | | |
| Li ₂ F | 3.78 ± 0.20 | 1a (C_{2v}) | 4.43 | 3.86 | 147.4 | HLC |
| | | $\mathbf{1b}\left(C_{\infty \mathbf{v}}\right)$ | 6.84 | _ | 26.7 | SC |
| Li_3F_2 | 4.32 ± 0.20 | 2a (C_{2v}) | 5.26 | 3.78 | 97.8 | SC (planar) |
| | | 2b $(C_{\rm s})$ | 4.51 | 4.15 | 94.9 | SC (Li-tail) |
| | | 2c (D_{3h}) | 3.78 | 3.78 | 33.0 | HLC |
| Li_4F_3 | 4.30 ± 0.20 | 3a (C_{3v}) | 4.26 | 3.61 | 87.4 | SC |
| | | $\mathbf{3b}(C_{s})$ | 4.53 | 4.06 | 74.8 | SC (Li-tail) |
| | | 3c (C_{2v}) | 5.58 | 3.87 | 66.2 | SC (planar) |
| | | 3d (C_{2v}) | 3.46 | _ | 52.8 | SC |

^a Vertical and adiabatic ionization energies (vIE and aIE) at MP2(FULL)/6-311+G(d)// B3LYP/6-311+G(d).

^b At B3LYP/6-311+G(d).

° HLC, the hyperlithiated configuration; SC, the segregated configuration.

stable than **1b** ($D_0^{\circ} = 26.7 \text{ kJ/mol}$). The isomer **1b** is in a segregated configuration (SC).

The observed IE of Li_3F_2 (4.32 eV) indicates the predominance of SC in this cluster. This IE value is close to aIE calculated for the isomer **2b**, which is in SC with $D_0^{\circ} = 94.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$. Here, one can consider that the isomer 2a in another SC with a similar stability ($D_0^{\circ} = 94.9 \text{ kJ/mol}$) would be present because the observed IE is in between the theoretical aIE and vertical ionization energy (vIE) of 2a. However, the presence of 2a in the supersonic beam is denied from a comparison of IECs between the experiment and the simulation shown in Figure 4; the theoretical IEC was obtained by taking account of the Franck-Condon factor for the ionization process, the detail of which will be described elsewhere. The isomer 2c with a hyperlithiated configuration (HLC) is obviously minor, because the observed IE (4.32 eV) is significantly higher in energy than vIE calculated for 2c (3.7 eV). Furthermore, the dissociation energy of **2c** is calculated to be quite small $(D_0^{\circ} =$ 33.0 kJ/mol) in comparison with the other isomers of Li_3F_2 as listed in Table 2. The Li_4F_3 cluster would prefer SC. The IE observed for Li_4F_3 (4.3 eV) is close to vIEs calculated for **3a** and **3b**, both of which are in SC. No big difference is seen in dissociation energies between 87.4 kJ/mol for 3a and 74.8 kJ/mol for 3b, but the shape of IEC shown in Figure 4 indicates that the isomer detected in the supersonic beam is **3b**.

In the Li_nF_{n-1} system, Li_2F is a hypervalent molecule, but Li₃F₂ prefers to be in SC despite a possibility to be in HLC. Every isomer of Li_4F_3 is in SC. Thus, at $n \ge 3$ the Li_nF_{n-1} clusters tends to take SC in which the excess valence electron is localized at any of the Li atom.

4. Li₂OH Molecule and Li_n(OH)_{n-1} (n = 3-5) Clusters

To detect $\text{Li}_n(\text{OH})_{n-1}$ (n = 2-5) species, we injected small amounts of water vapor diluted with air into an laser ablation

Figure 4. Ionization efficiency curves (IECs) observed for Li_2F_{n-1} (n = 2-4) in comparison with simulations based on Franck-Condon factors

irradiated by 532 nm laser pulses. Figure 5 shows ionization efficiency curves (IECs) of the Li₂(OH) molecule as well as $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ (n = 3-5) clusters.³⁶ The experimental values of IE determined here for each isomer of these species are summarized in Table 3, together with both the theoretical vertical ionization energy (vIE) and adiabatic ionization energy (aIE) calculated at the MP2(FULL)/6-311+G(d)//B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level as well as the dissociation energies calculated at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level. The structural isomers and features of SOMO of Li₂(OH) and Li₃(OH)₂ deduced by theoretical calculations at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level are illustrated in Figure 6. The theoretically obtained molecular structures and isosurfaces of SOMO of Li₄(OH)₃ and Li₅(OH)₄ are shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively.

The results of our theoretical investigation reveal that IEs of $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ (n = 2-5) species with an excess lithium atom depend largely on their structure.³⁵ Every cluster has several stable structural isomers, the structure of which is closely related to the charge population associated with the location of the excess valence electron of the Li atom. In some isomers, the excess electron delocalizes over all of the lithium atoms in the cluster, forming a metallic network (Li_n^+) similar to hyperlithiated molecules like Li₃O and Li₆C. In other isomers, the excess valence electron localizes at a specific site, making the metallic and ionic parts segregated. In the $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ (n = 2-5) system, the isomers 1a and 2a seem to have HLC with respect to the charge population. All of the lithium atoms possess almost the same atomic charge in these isomers as







shown in Figures 6 and 7. To confirm whether or not these isomers are in HLC, we examined their electronic structure and stability in terms of a character of SOMO which accommodates the excess electron. As described in the previous section, hyperlithiated molecules Li_3O , Li_6C , and Li_3S are stabilized by an electrostatic attraction between the anionic center and the cationic lithium network which is maintained by sharing the excess electron. The SOMO spatially covers all lithium atoms, making their structures highly symmetric; e.g., D_{3h} for Li_3O , O_h for Li_6C , and C_{3v} for Li_3S . The SOMO should have an antibonding character between, at least, one of the lithium atoms and the central atom. However, the equal posi-



tive charge on all lithiums produces an equal electrostatic attraction between each Li atom and the central atom. The SOMO spreading over the whole lithium network plays a key role in the formation of molecules with HLC.



Figure 6. Structures and features of SOMO of $Li_2(OH)$ and $Li_3(OH)_2$ obtained by calculations at B3LPY/6-311+G(d,p); roman letters are the bond length in Å and italic letters the natural charge.

Figure 7. Structural isomers of $Li_4(OH)_3$ and $Li_5(OH)_4$ obtained by calculations at B3LPY/6-311+G(d,p); roman letters are the bond length in Å and italic letters the natural charge.

TABLE 3: Ionization Energies and Dissociation Energies of $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ (n = 2-5)

| | Experimental IE/eV | Isomer | vIE/eV ^a | Theoretical aIE/eV ^a | $D_0^{\rm o}/{\rm kJ}~{\rm mol}^{-1{\rm b}}$ | Classification ^c |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Li ₂ OH | 4.35 ± 0.12 | 1a (C_{2y}) | 4.45 | 4.03 | 146.7 | HLC |
| 2 | | 1b $(C_{\infty v})$ | 6.58 | 5.55 | 19.4 | SC |
| Li ₃ (OH) ₂ | 3.66 ± 0.02 | 2a (D_{3h}) | 3.57 | 3.57 | 92.5 | HLC |
| | 4.16 ± 0.04 | 2b $(C_{\rm s})$ | 4.13 | 4.13 | 92.0 | SC (Li-tail) |
| | | 2c (C_{2y}) | 5.10 | 4.17 | 82.6 | SC (planar) |
| Li ₄ (OH) ₃ | 3.33 ± 0.11 | 3a (C_{3y}) | 3.40 | 3.38 | 120.1 | SC |
| | | 3b (C_{2v}) | 3.31 | 3.31 | 88.7 | SC |
| | | $3c(C_s)$ | 4.21 | 2.96 | 81.7 | SC (Li-tail) |
| | | 3d (C_{2v}) | 3.29 | 3.29 | 81.6 | (SC) |
| | | 3e (C_{2v}) | 5.46 | 4.28 | 46.5 | SC (planar) |
| Li ₅ (OH) ₄ | 3.60 ± 0.11 | $4\mathbf{a}(C_{s})$ | 3.71 | 3.18 | 80.9 | SC |
| | | 4b (C_{2v}) | 3.55 | 3.23 | 79.6 | SC |
| | | 4c (C_2) | 3.05 | 3.01 | 76.4 | (SC) |
| | | $4d(C_s)$ | 4.18 | 3.82 | 71.9 | SC (Li-tail) |
| | | 4e (C_{2v}) | 3.19 | 2.86 | 47.1 | SC |
| | | 4f (C_{2v}) | 5.62 | 4.41 | 12.5 | SC (planar) |

^a Vertical and adiabatic ionization energies (vIE and aIE) at MP2/6-311+G(d,p).

^b At B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p).

^c HLC, the hyperlithiated configuration; SC, the segregated configuration.

The result of theoretical calculations indicates further that IEs of the isomers of $\text{Li}_n(\text{OH})_{n-1}$ depend on the number of terminal lithium atoms as shown in Figure 9, irrespective of the stability toward dissociation (Table 3). For example, the difference in IEs between the isomer **2a** and **2c** of $\text{Li}_3(\text{OH})_2$ is as large as 1.43 eV, although the difference in the dissociation energies is only 0.1 eV (9.9 kJ/mol). The IEs of planar isomers (**1a**, **2c**, **3e**, **4f**) with two terminal lithiums ($n_{\text{TL}} = 2$) tend to increase from 4.5 to 5.6 eV with an increase of *n*. The IE of Li-tail isomers (**2b**, **3c**, **4d**) with $n_{\text{TL}} = 1$ is around 4.2 eV independent of *n*. The isomers without terminal Li atoms have IE lower than 3.7 eV. The vIE of the linear isomer **1b** (6.58 eV) is quite higher than that of the Li atom (5.39 eV).

Based on the Koopmans theorem that the vertical ionization energy directly corresponds to the orbital energy of SOMO, the present result is explained by considering the stability of SOMO, from which the extra electron is removed in the ionization process. In the $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ clusters, the OH⁻ groups with negative charge would deform the SOMO as a consequence of the electronic repulsion as suggested from the spatial distribution of SOMO. When repulsion by OH⁻ groups becomes larger, the SOMO becomes less stable and then the ionization energy becomes lower. This leads to the importance of spatial arrangement of the OH⁻ groups against the SOMO within the cluster. We focus the discussion on the spatial distribution of the SOMO to explain the correlation between the ionization energy and the number of terminal lithium atoms in the $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ clusters. The SOMO tends to localize around the terminal lithium atom. In the planar isomers with the highest IE, the SOMO distributes in a space between the two terminal lithium atoms, independent of the cluster size. The deformation of SOMO from a spherical symmetry is rather small in these planar isomers, because the neighboring OH- is fairly distant from the SOMO. In the Li-tail isomers with the second highest ionization energy in each size of the cluster, the SOMO localizes around the terminal Li atom and is deformed by the nearest OH^- group as seen in **2b** (Figure 6). It is to be noted that the Li-tail isomers in which the SOMO localizes around only one Li atom have lower ionization energy than the planar isomers in which the SOMO delocalizes between two Li atoms. In the isomers without terminal lithium, the SOMO delocalizes over the lithium network. In particular, the SOMO is largely deformed and widely distributed over the whole lithium network in the hyperlithiated isomers 2a (Figure 6). The ionization energies of these isomers are significantly low as seen in Table 3.

From comparison of IEs between the experiment and the theory listed in Table 3 it is concluded that the isomers exited in the supersonic beam of the present experiment were $\text{Li}_2(\text{OH})$ **1a**, $\text{Li}_3(\text{OH})_2$ **2a**, **2b**, $\text{Li}_4(\text{OH})_3$ **3a**, and $\text{Li}_5(\text{OH})_4$ **4a**. The global-minimum structure is in HLC at n = 2 and 3 and in SC at n = 4, indicating the occurrence of the transition from HLC to SC between n = 3 and 4. The second stable isomer **2b** in SC was also observed for $\text{Li}_3(\text{OH})_2$. This might be attributed to nearly the same stability of the two isomers; $D_0^{\circ} = 92.5$ kJ/mol for **1a** and $D_0^{\circ} = 92.0$ kJ/mol for **2b**. The conclusion was also confirmed by comparing the shape of measured IECs with that obtained by simulations involving the Franck-Condon factor (FCF), the detail of which is reported elsewhere.³⁵

5. Reinvestigation of Molecular Structure and Ionization of Li₃O; Evidence for Electronomers

When we reported the first evidence for the existence of hyperlithiated Li₃O,^{1, 2} the ionization energy (IE) determined by electron impact ionization was 4.5 ± 0.2 eV. The dissociation energy of Li₃O to give Li₂O was determined as 212 ± 42 kJ/mol. Although the dissociation energy agreed considerably well with the theoretical value (198.3 kJ/mol) calculated by Schleyer et al.,⁵ a rather large difference was seen in the IE between the experiment and theory (3.48 eV). The question remained to be solved for a long time. Recently, Lievens et al. have reported an experimental value (3.54 \pm 0.3 eV) for IE of Li₃O by photoionization measurements.⁴⁰ This stimulated us to reinvestigate the ionization process of Li₃O present in the same supersonic beam used for detecting the Li_n(OH)_{n-1}



Figure 8. Isosurfaces of SOMO in structural isomers of $Li_4(OH)_3$ and $Li_5(OH)_4$ obtained by calculations at B3LPY/6-311+G(d,p).



Figure 9. Relation between vertical ionization energy (vIE) and n_{TL} (the number of terminal lithium atoms) in each cluster size *n*.

species described in the previous section. Figure 10(a) shows the ionization efficiency curve (IEC) for Li₃O obtained by time-of-flight mass spectrometry combined with photoionization. There are two prominent features in the profile of IEC, i.e., two-stage rise and gradual linear rise between the threshold $(3.59 \pm 0.02 \text{ eV})$ and the second onset $(4.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV})$. The two-stage rise has already been reported by Lievens et al.⁴⁰ and attributed to some ionization processes other than the first ionization of Li₃O to give the ground state Li₃O⁺ of current interest. The threshold determined here is in excellent agreement with the theoretical IE. Both the theoretical vIE and aIE are calculated as 3.59 eV at a sophisticated level of CCSD(T)/6-311+G(d) assuming the D_{3h} structure for the neutral geometry.

Schleyer et al. reported in 1982 that the most stable structure of Li₃O was in C_{2v} symmetry from the calculation at the HF/3-21G level,⁵ and then reported in 1992 that their higher level of calculation at MP2(FULL)/6-31+G* revealed the D_{3h} symmetry.⁶ Figure 11 illustrates the result of our calculation on molecular structures of neutral and ionic Li₃O at the MP2/6-311+G(d) level of *ab initio* MO theory.⁵³ For the neutral, both the D_{3h} and C_{2v} structures are local minima on the potential energy surface. Single-point energy calculations at the CCSD(T)/6-311+(d) level indicate that the D_{3h} structure is slightly favorable in energy (0.8 kJ/mol), but the energy difference between those structures is much smaller than the accuracy of the calculation. The true minimal energy structure is still unpredictable. However, a simulation of the profile of IEC for Li₃O shown in Figure 10(b) provided a new clue to the molecular structure.

We can make simulations of IEC for small molecules by a "direct counting of vibrational states" method, using the same procedure as applied to the $Li_n(OH)_{n-1}$ system.³⁶ An essential idea of simulation is to calculate Franck-Condon factors (FCF) within harmonic approximation. The calculation includes the displacement of molecular geometry, the frequency change, and the normal mode rotation between the neutral and cationic states. All of these parameters can be computed by density



functional theory (DFT) or ab initio MO theory calculations. The simulated IECs for Li₃O are superimposed on the experimentally observed IEC in Figure 10(b). The ionization of the D_{3h} structure of neutral Li₃O does not reproduce well the observed gradual linear rise. Even with parameters for the C_{2v} structure, the simulation is far from the observed linear rise of IEC. The well-fitted curve in Figure 10(b) was obtained when two in-plane bending frequencies were adjusted to 10 cm⁻¹ and the molecule is restricted to populate only on the zero-point level in these modes: i.e., no vibrational excitation in these two bending modes. We assumed a flat potential energy surface for the neutral Li₃O to reproduce the observed curve.⁵³ In fact, the ab initio MP2 calculation gives a very flat potential well in the two Li-O-Li bending modes. Figure 12 shows a twodimensional potential energy surface spanned by the two bending coordinates; the horizontal axis for scissoring and the vertical for rocking. We can see a three-fold flat bottom consisting of one D_{3h} minimum and three C_{2y} minima in Figure 12(a). A cross sectional view on the horizontal axis shows a double well potential consisting of one of the three C_{2y} minima and the D_{3h} minimum [Figure 12 (b)]. The barrier height between the D_{3h} and C_{2v} minima is negligibly small (0.3 kJ/mol from the D_{3h} well). Consequently, the vibrational zero-point (v = 0) level, which is indicated by the horizontal solid line, lies above the barrier and thus spreads over these two minima. This wide v = 0 level indicates that the wave function of the vibrational ground state is delocalized over the three C_{2v}



Figure 11. Molecular structures of neutral (D_{3h}, C_{2v}) and cationic (D_{3h}) Li₃O calculated at MP2/6-311-G(d) level.



Figure 12. Ab initio potential energy surfaces of Li₃O. (a) The potential well consists of three C_{2v} minima and one D_{3h} minimum in the two bending motions. (b) The vibrational ground-state wave function spreads over the saddle points between D_{3h} and C_{2v} minima. (c) The vertical ionization from the flat-bottomed potential accesses a wide energy range of the cation

minima and the D_{3h} minima. With this large amplitude of vibrational motion, a wide range of cationic potential energy surface is accessible by the vertical ionization from the v = 0 level as shown in Figure 12(c). Thus the Li₃O molecule is described as a floppy molecule sharing both the D_{3h} and C_{2v} structures which have nearly the same stability but are different in the localization of the SOMO.

The most significant difference between the D_{3h} and C_{2v} structures is the shape or spatial distribution of their SOMO. The shape of SOMO is important characteristics in hyperlithiated molecules. The D_{3h} structure shows fully delocalized SOMO (Figure 13), forming a lithium network comprising all of the three lithium atoms, similar to other hyperlithiated molecules. In contrast, the C_{2y} structure has SOMO localized on two lithium atoms to form one Li-Li bond and the remaining lithium atom is completely cationic with an natural atomic charge of +0.95. Therefore, the C_{2y} structure can be regarded as a salt of Li_2^+ and LiO^- . In other words, the C_{2v} structure has an electronic structure segregated into a metallic-like Li₂⁺ cation and a LiO⁻ anion. Both the D_{3h} and C_{2v} structures have nearly the same stability. Theoretically, the existence of such nearly degenerate isomers with different localization of SOMO in the same irreducible representation was predicted by Schleyer's group for hyperlithiated Li₂CN.⁵⁴ They called the isomers "electronomers." From this point of view, D_{3h} and C_{2v} structures of Li₃O are electronomers, the experimental evidence for which has been provided for the first time. It can be concluded that the Li₃O molecule is a floppy molecule sharing both the hyperlithiated D_{3h} and segregated C_{2v} structures which possess nearly the same stability but are different in the localization of the SOMO.

5. Conclusion

In hyperlithiated or hypervalent molecules such as Li_nA (Li_6C , Li_3O , Li_4O , Li_3S , Li_4S , Li_4P), M_2CN (M = Li, Na, K), Li_2F_{n-1} (n = 2-4), and $\text{Li}_n(OH)_{n-1}$ (n = 2-5), the excess valence electron is not associated with the electronegative C, O, F, S, and P atoms as well as CN and OH groups, and the electronegative constituent remains content with its normal octet. The excess valence electron delocalizes over lithium atoms contributing to the formation of a lithium network or cage (Li_n^{m+}) with the Li-Li bond, and the hyperlithiated molecules are stabilized by the electrostatic attraction between the cationic Li_n^{m+} and the anionic species (e.g., $C^{0.9-}$, $O^{0.9-}$, $F^{1.0-}$, $S^{1.9-}$, $CN^{1.0-}$, $OH^{1.0-}$), despite the antibonding character between each of the lithium atom and the electronegative constituent.

Delocalization of the excess valence electron is essential to afford hyperlithiated molecules, and the spatial distribution or shape of SOMO and HOMO, which accommodates the excess valence electron, plays a crucial role in determining the stability of hyperlithiated molecules. In the Li_2F_{n-1} (n = 2-4)



Figure 13. Features of SOMO of Li_3O . The excess valence electron is fully delocalized and forms a lithium cage in the D_{3h} structure, while it is localized and forms a salt consisting of Li_2^+ and LiO^- in the C_{2v} structure.

and $\text{Li}_n(\text{OH})_{n-1}$ (n = 2-5) systems, SOMO widely spreads over the whole molecule giving a hypelithiated configuration (HLC) at small n, but tends to localize around a specific site affording a segregated configuration (SC) with an increase of n. Of these Li-rich clusters, IE is relatively high in planar isomers with two terminal lithium atoms ($n_{\text{TL}} = 2$) reflecting the stability of SOMO.

From the reinvestigation of ionization processes of Li₃O, this hyperlithiated molecule was found to be a floppy molecule sharing both D_{3h} (HLC) and C_{2v} (SC) structures which have nearly the same stability but are different in the localization of SOMO. This is the first experimental evidence for electronomers or electronic isomers.

References

- (1) H. Kudo, C. H. Wu, and H. R. Ihle, J. Nucl. Mater. **78**, 380 (1978).
- (2) C. H. Wu, H. Kudo, and H. R. Ihle, J. Chem. Phys. **70**, 1815 (1979).
- (3) G. N. Lewis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 38, 762 (1916).
- (4) W. Kossel, Ann. Phys. 49, 229 (1916).
- (5) P. v. R. Schleyer, E.-U. Wüthwein, and J. A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **104**, 5839 (1982).
- (6) E. Rehm, A. I. Boldyrev, and P. v. R. Schleyer, Inorg. Chem. **31**, 4834 (1992).
- (7) H. Kudo, Chem. Lett. 1986, 1611.
- (8) C. H. Wu, Chem. Phys. Lett. **139**, 357 (1987).
- (9) H. Kudo and C. H. Wu, Chem. Express, 5, 633 (1990).
- (10) H. Kudo and K. F. Zmbov, Chem. Phys. Lett. 187, 77 (1991).
- (11) H. Kudo, Nature 355, 432 (1992).
- (12) H. Kudo and C. H. Wu, J. Nucl. Mater. 201, 261 (1993).
- (13) H. Kudo, J. Mass Spectrom. Soc. Jpn. 41, 317 (1993).
- (14) H. Kudo, K. Yokoyama, and C. H. Wu, J. Chem. Phys. **101**, 4190 (1994).
- (15) P. v. R. Schleyer, E.-U. Wüthwein, E. Kaufmann, T. Clark, and J. A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **105**, 5930 (1983).
- (16) E.-U. Wüthwein, P. v. R. Schleyer, and J. A. Pople, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **106**, 6973 (1984).
- (17) P. v. R. Schleyer, in "New Horizons of Quantum Chemistry," ed. by P.-O. Löwdin and B. Pullman, Reidel Publ., Dortrecht (1986), p. 95.
- (18) A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 735 (1985); J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 3586 (1986).
- (19) A. E. Reed and F. Weinhold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **107**, 1919 (1985).
- (20) J. P. Ritchie and S. M. Bacharach, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109, 5909 (1987).
- (21)C. J. Marsden, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1989**, 1356.
- (22) J. Ivanic and C. J. Marsden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115, 7503 (1993).
- (23) J. Ivanic, C. J. Marsden, and D.M. Hassett, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1993**, 822.
- (24) G. L. Gutsev and A. I. Boldyrev, Chem. Phys. Lett. **92**, 262 (1982).
- (25) V. G. Zakrewski, W. von Niessen, A. I. Boldyrev, and P. v. R. Schleyer, Chem. Phys. Lett. **197**, 195 (1992).
- (26) M. Gutovski and J. Simons, J. Phys. Chem. **98**, 8326 (1994).
- (27)Z. Shi, J. Wang, and R. J. Boyd, J. Phys. Chem. **99**, 4941 (1995).
- (28) H. Kudo, M. Hashimoto, K. Yokoyama, C. H. Wu, A. E. Dorigo, F. M. Bickelhaupt, and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Phys. Chem. **99**, 6477 (1995).
- (29) M. Hashimoto, K. Yokoyama, H. Kudo, C. H. Wu, and P.

v. R. Schleyer, J. Phys. Chem. 100, 15770 (1996).

- (30) H. Kudo, M. Hashimoto, K. Yokoyama, C. H. Wu, and P. v. R. Schleyer, Thermochim. Acta **299**, 113 (1997).
- (31) H. Kudo and K. Yokoyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 69, 1459 (1996).
- (32) H. Kudo, M. Hashimoto, H. Tanaka, and K. Yokoyama, J. Mass Spectrom. Soc. Jpn. 47, 2 (1999).
- (33) K. Yokoyama, N. Haketa, M. Hashimoto, K. Furukawa, H. Tanaka, and H. Kudo, Chem. Phys. Lett. **320**, 645 (2000).
- (34) K. Yokoyama, N. Haketa, H. Tanaka, and H. Kudo, Chem. Phys. Lett. **330**, 339 (2000).
- (35) H. Tanaka, K. Yokoyama, and H. Kudo, J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 1821 (2000).
- (36) H. Tanaka, K. Yokoyama, and H. Kudo, J. Chem. Phys. **114**, 152 (2001).
- (37) U. Landman, D. Sharf, and J. Jortner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1860 (1985).
- (38) B. Vezin, Ph. Dugourd, D. Rayane, P. Labastie, J. Chevaleyre, and M. Broyer, Chem. Phys. Lett. **206**, 521 (1993).
- (39) V. Bonacic-Koutecký, J. Gaus, M. F. Guest, L. Cešpiva, and J. Koutecký, Chem. Phys. Lett. 206, 528 (1993).
- (40) P. Lievens, P. Thoen, S. Bouckaert, W. Bouwen, F. Vanhoutte, H. Weidele, R. E. Silverans, A. N.-Vázquez, and P. v. R. Schleyer, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 10316 (1999).
- (41) P. Lievens, P. Thoen, S. Bouckaert, W. Bouwen, F.

Vanhoutte, H. Weidele, and R. E. Silverans, Chem. Phys. Lett. **302**, 571 (1999).

- (42) R. Antoine, Ph. Dugourd, D. Rayane, E. Benichou, and M. Broyer, J. Chem. Phys. **107**, 2264 (1997).
- (43) R. Antoine, Ph. Dugourd, D. Rayane, and M. Broyer, J. Chem. Phys. **104**, 110 (1996).
- (44) H. G. Limberger and T. P. Martin, J. Chem. Phys. **90**, 2979 (1989).
- (45) P. Labasite, J.-M. L'Hermite, Ph. Poncharal, and M. Sence, J. Chem. Phys. **103**, 6362 (1995).
- (46) E. C. Honea, M. L. Homer, and R. L. Whetten, Phys. Rev. B 47, 7480 (1993).
- (47) G. Rajagopal, R. N. Barnett, and U. Landman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 727 (1991).
- (48) A. W. Castleman Jr. and K. H. Bowen Jr., J. Phys. Chem. 100, 12911 (1996).
- (49) G. Durand, J. Giraud-Girard, D. Maynau, F. Spiegelmann, and F. Calvo, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 7871 (1999).
- (50) R. L. Whetten, Acc. Chem. Res. 26, 49 (1993).
- (51) A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, and F. Weinhold, Chem. Rev. 88, 899 (1985).
- (52)G. L. Gutsev and A. I. Boldyrev, Chem. Phys. Lett. **92**, 262 (1982).
- (53) K. Yokoyama, H. Tanaka, and H. Kudo, J. Phys. Chem. A 105, 4312 (2001).
- (54) A. Dorigo and P. v. R. Schleyer, Chem. Phys. Lett. 186, 363 (1991).