Production and Chemistry of Transactinide Elements

Y. Nagame*

Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

Received: July 31, 2005; In Final Form: September 30, 2005

Recent progress in production and chemistry of the transactinide elements, element 107 bohrium (Bh) and element 104 rutherfordium (Rf), is reviewed. First information on chemical properties of Bh was obtained in gas chromatographic experiments on an atom-at-a-time basis. Chemical separation and characterization of 6 atoms of ²⁶⁷Bh produced in the bombardment of a ²⁴⁹Bk target with ²²Ne beams are outlined. Aqueous chemistry of Rf being performed at JAERI (Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute) is briefly summarized. On-line anionexchange experiments in acidic solutions on ²⁶¹Rf produced in the ²⁴⁸Cm(¹⁸O,5*n*) reaction were conducted with a rapid ion-exchange separation apparatus. Characteristic anion-exchange behavior of Rf is discussed.

1. Introduction

According to the actinide concept,¹ the 5*f* electron series ends with element 103, lawrencium (Lr), and a new 6*d* electron transition series is predicted to begin with element 104, rutherfordium (Rf). The elements with atomic numbers $Z \ge 104$ are called transactinide elements or recently called superheavy elements.² The currently known transactinide elements, elements 104 through 112, are placed in the periodic table under their lighter homologues in the 5*d* electron series, Hf to Hg. Elements from 113 to 118 except for 117 synthesized by Oganessian et al.^{3, 4} would be in the successive 7*p* electron series (see Figure 1).

Studies of chemical properties of the transactinide elements offer unique opportunities to obtain information about trends in the periodic table of the elements at the limits of nuclear stability and to assess the magnitude of the influence of relativistic effects on chemical properties. From calculations of electron configurations of heavier elements, it is predicted that sudden changes in the structure of electron shells may appear due to relativistic effects which originate from the increasingly strong Coulomb field of a highly charged atomic nucleus.⁵ Therefore, it is expected that heavier elements show a drastic rearrangement of electrons in their atomic ground states, and as electron configurations are responsible for chemical behavior of elements, such relativistic effects can lead to surprising chemical properties. Increasing deviations from the periodicity of chemical properties based on extrapolation from lighter homologues in the periodic table are consequently predicted.^{2, 6-8} It would be no longer possible to deduce detailed chemical properties of the transactinide elements simply from the position of the periodic table.



Figure 1. Periodic table of the elements.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: nagame.yuichiro@jaea.go.jp. FAX: +81-29-282-5927.

Chemical experiments with the transactinide elements are generally divided into the following 4 basic steps; i) synthesis of transactinide nuclides, ii) rapid transport of the synthesized nuclides to chemical separation apparatuses, iii) fast chemical isolation of a desired nuclide and preparation of a sample suitable for nuclear spectroscopy, and iv) detection of nuclides through their characteristic decay properties. Due to short half-lives and low production rates of the transactinide nuclides, each atom produced decays before a new atom is synthesized. This means that any chemistry to be performed must be done on an "atom-at-a-time" basis.^{2,9,10} Thus, rapid and very efficient radiochemical procedures must be devised. Recent comprehensive reviews on the chemistry of the transactinide elements are found in References 2, 9, and 10.

The first successful chemical isolation and identification of Bh was accomplished in an experiment at the Philips cyclotron of PSI (Paul Sherrer Institute) by Eichler et al.¹¹ The result clearly indicated that the volatile bohrium oxychloride complex behaved like a typical member of group 7 of the periodic table of the elements. From recent systematic studies of the anion-exchange behavior of Rf, it was found that Rf is a member of the group 4 elements,¹² although unexpected chemical behavior of Rf was observed in the fluoride complex formation.¹³

In this paper, chemical studies on gas chromatographic behavior of Bh at PSI and aqueous chemistry of Rf at JAERI are outlined.

2. Production of Transactinide Elements

Longer-lived transactinide nuclides required in chemical experiments exit in the neutron-rich region of the chart of nuclides and they are produced in so-called hot fusion reactions of such beams as ¹⁸O, ¹⁹F, ²²Ne, and ²⁶Mg with actinides targets of ²⁴⁴Pu, ²⁴⁸Cm, ²⁴⁹Bk, and so on. The produced transactinide nuclides must be identified by measurement of their decay or by that of their known daughter nuclei with unambiguous detection techniques.

A schematic representation of a typical experimental set-up for the production of transactinide nuclides is shown in Figure 2 where the target and recoil chamber for the production of ²⁶¹Rf at JAERI are depicted. A ²⁴⁸Cm target of 610 μ g/cm² thickness prepared by electrodeposition of Cm(NO₃)₃ from isopropyl alcohol onto 2.4 mg/cm² thick beryllium backing foil is bombarded by ¹⁸O beams delivered from the JAERI tandem accelerator. The ¹⁸O⁶⁺ beam passes through 2.0-mg/cm² HAVAR entrance window foil, 0.09 mg/cm² He cooling gas



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of a target recoil chamber arrangement with a gas-jet system.

and the beryllium target backing, and it finally enters the ²⁴⁸Cm target material. The reaction products recoiling out of the target are thermalized in a volume of He gas (≈ 1 bar) which is loaded with potassium chloride (KCl) aerosols generated by sublimation from the surface of KCl powder at 640 °C. The products attached to the aerosols are swept out of the recoil chamber with the He gas flow (2.0 L/min) and are transported through a Teflon-capillary (length 20 m, inner diameter 2.0 mm) by a He/KCl gas-jet system to a chemistry laboratory.

The reactions and the expected production rates of the transactinide nuclides used for chemical studies are summarized in Table 1. Assuming the typical values of 800 μ g/cm² for the target thickness and the beam intensity of 3×10^{12} s⁻¹, one can anticipate the production rates, for example, to be 4 atoms per minute for Rf and a 1 atom per hour for Bh.

3. Gas-phase Chemistry of Element 107, Bohrium (Bh)

Figure 3 shows the schematic of the experiment for the gasphase chemistry of Bh.¹¹ A target of 670 µg/cm² ²⁴⁹Bk covered with a layer of 159 Tb (100 μ g/cm²) was bombarded for about 4 weeks with ²²Ne beams at a beam energy of 119 MeV to produce 267 Bh in the reaction 249 Bk(22 Ne,4*n*). Reaction products recoiling from the target were adsorbed onto the surface of carbon particles in He gas and were then continuously transported with the gas-jet system to the chemistry apparatus OLGA III (On-Line Gas chromatography Apparatus).²⁰ The aerosol particles were collected on quartz wool inside the reaction oven kept at 1000 °C. A mixture of HCl and O₂ as reactive gas was introduced to form volatile oxychlorides. The chromatographic separation took place downstream in the isothermal temperature section of the quartz column (length 1.5 m, inner diameter 1.5 mm). The retention times of compounds in the column depend mainly on their adsorption interaction with the surface of the column at the isothermal temperature and on the carrier gas velocity. Thus, volatile molecules with a nuclear lifetime longer than the retention time passed through the column, and were subsequently attached to CsCl aerosol particles in Ar gas and transported to the detection system ROMA (ROtating wheel Multidetector Analyzer). The CsCl particles deposited in vacuum on thin foils mounted on the circumference of a stepwise



Figure 3. Schematic view of the Bh gas-chromatographic experiment. Courtesy of H. W. Gäggeler.

 $T_{\text{isothermal}}$:
 75 °C
 150 °C
 180 °C

 Beam dose:
 1.00×10^{18} 1.02×10^{18} 1.02×10^{18}

Element



Figure 4. Decay chains attributed to the decay of 267 Bh. The observed α -particle and spontaneous fission decay energies and the lifetimes are given. Adapted from Reference 11.

rotating wheel. Every 10 s the collected samples were successively moved between a series of 12 pairs of PIPS (passivated ion-implanted planar silicon) detectors to measure the energy of α -particles and spontaneous fission decay. Measurements were performed at three different isothermal temperatures. Rhenium-186 was simultaneously produced in the reaction ¹⁵⁹Tb(²²Ne,4*n*), and was served as a yield monitor for the chemical separation process. The overall yield, including transportation of the products with the gas-jet system, chemical yields, and sample preparation in the detection system, was on the average about 16% for ¹⁸⁶Re.¹¹ The yield of ¹⁸⁶Re was measured with an HPGe γ -ray detector.

The nuclide ²⁶⁷Bh decays by α -particle emission to ²⁶³Db, an isotope of element 105, which decays either by spontaneous fission or α -particle emission to ²⁵⁹Lr. About 180,000 samples were measured throughout the experiment. As shown in Figure 4, four genetically linked decay chains attributed to the decay of

TABLE 1: Typical Nuclides	Used in Chemical Studies of the	Transactinide Elements
----------------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------

Atomic number	Nuclide	Half-life	Nuclear reaction	Production cross section	Production rate*	Reference
104	261 Rf	78 s	²⁴⁸ Cm(¹⁸ O,5 <i>n</i>)	13 nb	4 min ⁻¹	14
105	²⁶² Db	34 s	248 Cm(19 F,5 <i>n</i>)	1.3 nb	0.5 min ⁻¹	14
105	²⁶² Db	34 s	249 Bk(18 O,5 <i>n</i>)	6 nb	$2 \min^{-1}$	15
106	²⁶⁵ Sg	7.4 s	²⁴⁸ Cm(²² Ne,5 <i>n</i>)	0.24 nb	5 h ⁻¹	16
107	²⁶⁷ Bh	17 s	249 Bk(22 Ne,4 <i>n</i>)	60 pb	$1 h^{-1}$	17
108	²⁶⁹ Hs	14 s	²⁴⁸ Cm(²⁶ Mg,5 <i>n</i>)	6 pb	3 d ⁻¹	18
112	²⁸³ 112	5.1 min	238 U(48 Ca,3 <i>n</i>)	3 pb	$1.5 d^{-1}$	19

*Assuming typical values of 800 μ g/cm² target thickness and 3 × 10¹² s⁻¹ beam particles. Partly adapted from Reference 10.



Figure 5. Isothermal gas chromatographic behavior of the oxychloride compounds of Bh, Tc, and Re. The solid lines indicate the results of simulations with the microscopic model with the adsorption enthalpies given in the text. The dashed lines represent the calculated relative yield concerning the 68% confidence interval of the standard adsorption enthalpy of BhO₃Cl from -66 to -88 kJ/mol. Adapted from Reference 11.

²⁶⁷Bh were detected at an isothermal temperature of 180 °C, while at 150 °C, 2 decay chains were observed and no decay chains were registered at 75 °C. A total of 6 atoms were detected during the experiment.¹¹

Figure 5 shows the isothermal gas chromatographic behavior of Bh oxychloride, presumably BhO₃Cl, together with that of the group 7 metal oxychlorides in quartz columns. The data for Tc were obtained using a ²⁵²Cf spontaneous fission source in a separate experiment.²¹ The relative yields of BhO₃Cl after separation with the OLGA device are plotted as a function of isothermal temperatures and are compared with those of TcO₃Cl and ReO₃Cl. The relative yield of BhO₃Cl observed at 180 °C was normalized to 100%. Although no ²⁶⁷BhO₃Cl was observed at 75 °C, ¹⁶⁹ReO₃Cl still passed the isothermal part of the column with about 80% of relative yield. From the gas adsorption chromatographic behavior of those molecules, the following enthalpies of adsorption on the column surface were deduced: $-\Delta H_a^0$ (TcO₃Cl) = 51 ± 3 kJ/mol, $-\Delta H_a^0$ (ReO₃Cl) = 61 ± 3 kJ/ mol, and $-\Delta H_a^0$ (BhO₃Cl) = 75⁺⁶₋₉ kJ/mol (68% confidence interval).¹¹ The result clearly indicates that the sequence in volatility is $TcO_3Cl > ReO_3Cl > BhO_3Cl$. It is interesting to note that this sequence is consistent with predictions from relativistic densityfunctional calculations for group 7 oxychlorides²² and also from classical extrapolations of periodic trends.¹¹ This means that the volatile BhO₃Cl behaves like a typical member of group 7 of the periodic table.¹¹

4. Aqueous Chemistry of Element 104, Rutherfordium (Rf)

Ruthefordium-261 ($T_{1/2}$ = 78 s) was produced at the JAERI tandem accelerator. The mixed target of 248 Cm (610 µg/cm² in thickness) and Gd (39.3%-enriched ¹⁵²Gd of 36 µg/cm² in thickness) prepared by electrodeposition onto 2.4-mg/cm² beryllium foil was used for simultaneous production of ²⁶¹Rf and 3.24-min ¹⁶⁹Hf via the ²⁴⁸Cm(¹⁸O,5n) and Gd(¹⁸O,xn) reactions, respectively. Hafnium-169 was served as a monitor of the on-line chemistry experiment. The reaction products recoiling out of the target were transported through a Teflon-capillary to the chemistry laboratory by the He/KCl gas-jet system as mentioned in Section 2. To perform rapid and cyclic anion-exchange experiments with Rf, we employed an apparatus AIDA (Automated Ion-exchange separation apparatus coupled with the Detection system for Alpha-spectroscopy)^{12, 23} that consisted of a modified ARCA (Automated Rapid Chemistry Apparatus),²⁴ which was a miniaturized computer controlled liquid chromatography system, and an automated on-line α -particle detection system. AIDA enables us to perform cyclic discontinuous column chromatographic separation of short-lived nuclides in



Figure 6. Schematic drawing of AIDA (Automated Ion-exchange separation apparatus coupled with the **D**etection system for Alpha spectroscopy). Adapted from Reference 23.

aqueous solutions and automated detection of α -particles within a typical cycle of 1–2 min. A schematic drawing of AIDA is given in Figure 6. There are two column magazines, each containing 20 micro columns. On-line anion-exchange experiments on Rf together with the group 4 elements Zr and Hf in acidic solutions, HCl, HNO₃, and HF, were conducted with AIDA. The adsorption behavior of Rf as a function of the acid concentration was systematically studied.^{12,13} Quite recently, an elution curve of Rf has been obtained for the first time in anion-exchange chromatography on an atom-at-a-time basis.²⁵

In the following, the experimental procedures and the result on the anion-exchange behavior of Rf in HCl solution are summarized. The reaction products continuously transported through the He/KCl gas-jet system deposited on a collection site of AIDA. After collection for 125 s, the site was mechanically moved on the top of one of the micro columns, where the products were dissolved with 170 μ L of hot ($\approx 80 \degree$ C) 11.5 M HCl and then fed onto the chromatographic column (length 7mm, inner diameter 1.6 mm) filled with an anion-exchange resin MCl GEL CA08Y (particle size of about 20 µm) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The products were eluted with 290 µL of 4.0 – 9.5 M HCl at a flow rate of 1.1 mL/min. The effluent was collected on a Ta disk as fraction 1 and then evaporated to dryness using hot He gas and a halogen heat lamp for α -particle measurement. The remaining products in the column were eluted with 250 µL of 4.0 M HCl at a flow rate of 1.1 mL/min. The effluent was collected on another Ta disk and evaporated to dryness as fraction 2. The pair of disks was automatically transported to the α -spectroscopy station equipped with PIPS detectors. After the α -particle measurement, γ -radiation of ¹⁶⁹Hf was monitored with Ge detectors to determine the elution behavior of Hf and its chemical yield. Each separation was accomplished within 20 s and the α -particle measurement was initiated within 80 s after the collection of products at the AIDA collection site. The chemical yield of Hf, including collection and dissolution of the aerosols at the AIDA collection site, was approximately 60%.12

From 1893 cycles of the anion-exchange experiments, a total of 186 α events from ²⁶¹Rf and its daughter ²⁵⁷No were registered, including 35 α - α correlation events.¹² Figure 7 shows the adsorption behavior of Rf, Zr, and Hf as a function of HCl concentration. We measured the adsorption probability of Zr and Hf that were produced in the reactions Ge(¹⁸O,*xn*) and Gd(¹⁸O,*xn*), respectively, under strictly identical conditions as those with Rf and Hf.¹⁵ The ordinate shows the adsorption probabilities of these elements, $100A_2/(A_1+A_2)$, where A_1 and A_2 are the eluted radioactivities observed in fractions 1 and 2, respectively. The adsorption behavior of Rf is quite similar to that of group 4 elements Zr and Hf. The adsorption of these



Figure 7. Adsorption probability of Rf, Zr, and Hf on the anionexchange resin CA08Y as a function of HCl concentration. Adapted from Reference 12.



Figure 8. Adsorption behavior of Rf, Zr, and Hf on the anionexchange resin CA08Y as a function of the initial concentration of HF, $[HF]_{ini}$. Adapted from Reference 13.

elements rapidly increases with increasing HCl concentration higher than 7 M: typical anion-exchange behavior of group 4 elements. This means that anionic chloride complexes of tetravalent Rf, Zr, and Hf are formed above 7 M concentration of HCl.¹²

Similar behavior of Rf was also probed with the anionexchange experiment in 8 M HNO₃. From 217 experiments, a total of 20 α events from ²⁶¹Rf and ²⁵⁷No were observed, including 5 time-correlated α pairs. It was found that Rf was eluted from the column with 8 M HNO₃ as expected for a typical group 4 element.¹² The above results definitely confirm that Rf is an element of group 4.

Unexpected anionic-exchange behavior of Rf in HF solution was observed. The experimental procedures with AIDA are basically the same as those described above and the details are given in Reference 13. From 4226 cycles of the anion-exchange experiments, a total of 266 α events from ²⁶¹Rf and its daughter ²⁵⁷No were registered, including 25 time-correlated α pairs of ²⁶¹Rf and ²⁵⁷No. Figure 8 shows a variation of the adsorption probability of Rf, Zr, and Hf as a function of the initial concentration of HF, [HF]_{ini}.¹³ Adsorption behavior of Zr was obtained from the experiment using the Ge and Gd mixed target.¹³ The adsorption of Zr is fairly equal to that of Hf over a wide range of HF concentrations and steeply decreases with [HF]_{ini}, while



Figure 9. Distribution coefficients (K_d) for Rf, Zr, and Hf on the anion-exchange resin CA08Y as a function of the concentration of HF₂⁻. Adapted from References 13 and 23.

that of Rf decreases at much lower $[HF]_{ini}$. The lower adsorption of Rf indicates that the fluoride complexation of Rf is weaker than those of Zr and Hf.

The dissociation of HF is expressed as,²⁶

$$\mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{F}^{-} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{HF} \tag{1}$$

$$HF + F^{-} \rightleftarrows HF_{2}^{-}.$$
 (2)

In terms of the dissociation constants $K_1 = 935 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for Equation (1) and $K_2 = 3.12 \text{ M}^{-1}$ for Equation (2), above 1 M [HF]_{ini}, the concentration of anionic HF₂⁻ is more than one order of magnitude higher than that of free F⁻. The K_d values for Rf, Zr, and Hf are plotted in Figure 9 as a function of the concentration of HF₂⁻, [HF₂⁻]. The K_d values for Rf were deduced from the adsorption probability in Figure 8 by assuming that the reaction rates in the fluoride complexation and the anion-exchange process of Rf are as fast as those of the homologues Zr and Hf as described in Reference 13. The K_d values for Zr and Hf were from the on-line column chromatographic experiments with AIDA. A decrease of K_d values for these elements with [HF₂⁻] is explained as the displacement of the metal complex from the binding sites of the resin with the counter ion HF₂⁻ as,

$$R_{n} \cdot MF_{4+n} + n \cdot HF_{2}^{-} \rightleftharpoons n \cdot R \cdot HF_{2} + MF_{4+n}^{n-}$$

$$(M = Rf, Zr, and Hf),$$
(3)

where R denotes the resin. As shown in Figure 9, K_d values of these elements decrease linearly with increasing [HF₂⁻] in the log K_d vs. log [HF₂⁻] plot. It should be noted that the slopes for Zr and Hf are clearly -3 (dashed line), while that for Rf is -2 (solid line). This means that Rf is likely to be present as a hexafluoro complex, [RfF₆]²⁻ similar to the well known [ZrF₆]²⁻ and [HfF₆]²⁻ at lower [HF]_{ini}, while Zr and Hf are likely to be present in the form of heptafluoro complexes, [ZrF₇]³⁻ and [HfF₇]³⁻ as suggested in References 27 and 28. Activity coefficients of the chemical species involved are not available for higher concentration of HF, and the definite identification of the anionic fluoride complexes is still an open question.

Relativistic density-functional calculations of the electronic structures of hexafluoro complexes ($[MF_6]^{2-}$, M=Rf, Zr and Hf) have been performed to evaluate the stability of the complexes. The results of calculations that the sequence in the overlap population between the valence *d* orbitals of M⁴⁺ and the valence orbitals of F⁻ is Zr \approx Hf > Rf suggest that the Rf complex is less stable than those of Zr and Hf in the $[MF_6]^{2-}$ structure.²⁹ Although the calculations qualitatively agree with the experimental results, further theoretical approaches are

Production and Chemistry of Transactinide Elements

needed to quantitatively understand the present interesting results.

5. Summary

Chemical studies of Bh and Rf on an atom-at-a-time basis gave the following outcomes. Bohrium behaves like a typical member of group 7 of the periodic table and forms a volatile oxychloride, presumably BhO₃Cl, which is less volatile than those of the lighter homologues Tc and Re. The adsorption behavior of Rf in anion-exchange chromatography is quite similar to that of Zr and Hf in HNO₃ and HCl solutions, clearly confirming that Rf is an element of group 4. On the other hand, a large difference was observed in the adsorption behavior of Rf on the anion-exchange resin in HF solution, i.e., the fluoride complexation of Rf is much weaker than those of the homologues.

From artistic chemical studies of the transactinide elements performed so far including the results described here, one can place the elements 104 through 108 in their respective group of the periodic table, i.e., Rf, Db, Sg, Bh, and Hs in group 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, respectively.^{2, 7, 30} However, as observed in the fluoride complexation of Rf, unexpected chemical behavior partly caused by relativistic effects would appear in chemical properties of the transactinide elements. To enhance the understanding on chemical properties of the transactinide elements, more systematic experiments as well as quantitative theoretical calculations are required.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank his group members in JAERI and all colleagues from RIKEN, Osaka, Niigata, Kanazawa, Tokyo, Tsukuba, Shizuoka, Tokushima, Darmstadt, and Mainz in the Rf experiment at JAERI. The chemical studies on Rf are supported in part by the JAERI-University Collaboration Research Program and by the Program on the Scientific Cooperation between JAERI and GSI in Research and Development in the Field of Ion Beam Application. He is grateful to H. W. Gäggeler for providing him valuable information on the chemical studies of Bh.

References

- (1) G. T. Seaborg, Chem. Eng. News 23, 2190 (1945).
- (2) *The Chemistry of Superheavy Elements*, ed. M. Schädel, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- (3) Yu. Ts. Oganessian, V. K. Utyonkov, Yu. V. Lobanov, F. Sh. Abdullin, A. N. Polyakov, I. V. Shirokovsky, Yu. S. Tsyganov, G. G. Gulbekian, S. L. Bogomolov, B. N. Gikal, A. N. Mezentsev, S. Iliev, V. G. Subbotin, A. M. Sukhov, A. A. Voinov, G. V. Buklanov, K. Subotic, V. I. Zagrebaev, M. G. Itkis, J. B. Patin, K. J. Moody, J. F. Wild, M. A. Stoyer, N. J. Stoyer, D. A. Shaughnessy, J. M. Kenneally, and R. W. Lougheed, Nucl. Phys. A734, 109 (2004).
- (4) Yu. Ts. Oganessian, V. K. Utyonkov, Yu. V. Lobanov, F. Sh. Abdullin, A. N. Polyakov, I. V. Shirokovsky, Yu. S. Tsyganov, G. G. Gulbekian, S. L. Bogomolov, A. N. Mezentsev, S. Iliev, V. G. Subbotin, A. M. Sukhov, A. A. Voinov, G. V. Buklanov, K. Subotic, V. I. Zagrebaev, M. G. Itkis, J. B. Patin, K. J. Moody, J. F. Wild, M. A. Stoyer, N. J. Stoyer, D. A. Shaughnessy, J. M. Kenneally, and R. W. Lougheed, Phys. Rev. C 69, 021601 (2004).
- (5) B. Fricke and W. Greiner, Phys. Lett. 30B, 317 (1969).
- (6) P. Pyykkö, Chem. Rev. 88, 563 (1988).
- (7) V. Pershina, Chem. Rev. 96, 1977 (1996).
- (8) P. Schwerdtfeger and M. Seth, *Encyclopedia of Computational Chemistry*, Vol. 4, eds. P. von R. Schleyer et al., Wily, New York, 1998, p. 2480.
- (9) J. V. Kratz, Chemistry of Transactinides. In: Handbook of Nuclear Chemistry, Vol. 2, Elements and Isotopes, eds. A.

Vértes, S. Nagy, Z. Klencsár, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003, p. 323.

- (10) M. Schädel, J. Nucl. Radiochem. Sci. 3, 113 (2002).
- (11) R. Eichler, W. Brüchle, R. Dressler, Ch. E. Düllmann, B. Eichler, H. W. Gäggeler, K. E. Gregorich, D. C. Hoffman, S. Hübener, D. T. Jost, U. W. Kirbach, C. A. Laue, V. M. Lavanchy, H. Nitsche, J. B. Patin, D. Pluguet, M. Schädel, D. A. Shaughnessy, D. A. Strellis, S. Taut, L. Tobler, Y. S. Tsyganov, A. Türler, A. Vahle, P. A. Wilk, and A. B. Yakushev, Nature 407, 63 (2000).
- (12) H. Haba, K. Tsukada, M. Asai, S. Goto, A. Toyoshima, I. Nishinaka, K. Akiyama, M. Hirata, S. Ichikawa, Y. Nagame, Y. Shoji, M. Shigekawa, T. Koike, M. Iwasaki, A. Shinohara, T. Kaneko, T. Maruyama, S. Ono, H. Kudo, Y. Oura, K. Sueki, H. Nakahara, M. Sakama, A. Yokoyama, J. V. Kratz, M. Schädel, and W. Brüchle, J. Nucl. Radiochem. Sci. 3, 143 (2002).
- (13) H. Haba, K. Tsukada, M. Asai, A. Toyoshima, K. Akiyama, I. Nishinaka, M. Hirata, T. Yaita, S. Ichikawa, Y. Nagame, K. Yasuda, Y. Miyamoto, T. Kaneko, S. Goto, S. Ono, T. Hirai, H. Kudo, M. Shigekawa, A. Shinohara, Y. Oura, H. Nakahara, K. Sueki, H. Kikunaga, N. Kinoshita, N. Tsuruga, A. Yokoyama, M. Sakama, S. Enomoto, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, and J. V. Kratz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **126**, 5219 (2004).
- (14) Y. Nagame, M. Asai, H. Haba, S. Goto, K. Tsukada, I. Nishinaka, K. Nishio, S. Ichikawa, A. Toyoshima, K. Akiyama, H. Nakahara, M. Sakama, M. Schädel, J. V. Kratz, H. W. Gäggeler, and A. Türler, J. Nucl. Radiochem. Sci. 3, 85 (2002).
- (15) J. V. Kratz, M. K. Gober, H. P. Zimmermann, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, E. Shimpf, K. E. Gregorich, A. Türler, N. J. Hannink, K. R. Czerwinski, B. Kadkhodayan, D. M. Lee, M. J. Nurmia, D. C. Hoffman, H. W. Gäggeler, D. Jost, J. Kovacs, U. W. Scherer, and A. Weber, Phys. Rev. C 45, 1064 (1992).
- (16) A. Türler, R. Dressler, B. Eichler, H. W. Gäggeler, D. T. Jost, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, K. E. Gregorich, N. Trautmann, and S. Taut, Phys. Rev. C 57, 1648 (1998).
- (17) P. A. Wilk, K. E. Gregorich, A. Türler, C. A. Laue, R. Eichler, V. Ninov, J. L. Adams, U. W. Kirbach, M. R. Lane, D. M. Lee, J. B. Patin, D. A. Shaughnessy, D. A. Strellis, H. Nitsche, and D. C. Hoffman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2697 (2000).
- (18) A. Türler, Ch. E. Düllmann, H. W. Gäggeler, U. W. Kirbach, A. B. Yakushev, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, R. Dressler, K. Eberhardt, B. Eichler, R. Eichler, T. N. Ginter, F. Glaus, K. E. Gregorich, D. C. Hoffman, E. Jäger, D. T. Jost, D. M. Lee, H. Nitsche, J. B. Patin, V. Pershina, D. Piguet, Z. Qin, B. Schausten, E. Shimpf, H.–J. Schött, S. Soverna, R. Sudowe, P. Thörle, S. N. Timokhin, N. Trautmann, A. Vahle, G. Wirth, and P. M. Zielinski, Eur. Phys. J. A 17, 505 (2003).
- (19) Yu. Ts. Oganessian, A. V. Yeremin, G. G. Gulbekian, S. L. Bogomolov, V. I. Chepigin, B. N. Gikal, V. A. Gorshkov, M. G. Itkis, A. P. Kabachenko, V. B. Kutner, A. Yu. Lavrentev, O. N. Malyshev, A. G. Popeko, J. Rohác, R. N. Sagaidak, S. Hofmann, G. Münzenberg, M. Veselsky, S. Saro, N. Iwase, and K. Morita, Eur. Phys. J. A 5, 63 (1999); Yu. Ts. Oganessian, A. V. Yeremin, A. G. Popeko, O. N. Malyshev, A. V. Belozerov, G. V. Buklanov, M. L. Chelnokov, V. I. Chepigin, V. A. Gorshkov, S. Hofmann, M. G. Itkis, A. P. Kabachenko, B. Kindler, G. Münzenberg, R. N. Sagaidak, S. Saro, H.-J. Schött, B. Streicher, A. V. Shutov, A. I. Svirikhin, and G. K. Vostokin, Eur. Phys. J. A 19, 3 (2004).
- (20) H. W. Gäggeler, D. T. Jost, U. Baltensperger, A. Weber, J. Kovacs, D. Vermeulen, and A. Türler, Nucl. Instr. Methods A 309, 201 (1991).
- (21) R. Eichler, B. Eichler, H. W. Gäggeler, D. T. Jost, D.

Piguet, and A. Türler, Radiochim. Acta 88, 87 (2000).

- (22) V. Pershina and T. Bastug, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 1441 (2000).
- (23) Y. Nagame, K. Tsukada, M. Asai, A. Toyoshima, K. Akiyama, Y. Ishii, T. Kaneko-Sato, M. Hirata, I. Nishinaka, S. Ichikawa, H. Haba, S. Enomoto, K. Matsuo, D. Saika, Y. Kitamoto, H. Hasegawa, Y. Tani, W. Sato, A. Shinohara, M. Ito, J. Saito, S. Goto, H. Kudo, H. Kikunaga, N. Kinoshita, A. Yokoyama, K. Sueki, Y. Oura, H. Nakahara, M. Sakama, M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, and J. V. Kratz, Radiochim. Acta **93**, 519 (2005).
- (24) M. Schädel, W. Brüchle, E. Jäger, E. Schimpf, J. V. Kratz, U. W. Scherer, H. P. Zimmermann, Radiochim. Acta 48, 171 (1989).
- (25) A. Toyoshima, H. Haba, K. Tsukada, M. Asai, K. Akiyama, I. Nishinaka, Y. Nagame, D. Saika, K. Matsuo, W. Sato, A. Shinohara, H. Ishizu, M. Ito, J. Saito, S. Goto, H. Kudo, H. Kikunaga, N. Kinoshita, C. Kato, A. Yokoyama, and K. Sueki, J. Nucl. Radiochem. Sci. 5, 45 (2004).

- (26) P. M. Plaisance, R. Guillaumont, Radiochim. Acta 12, 32 (1969).
- (27) J. I. Kim, H. Lagally, H.-J. Born, Anal. Chim. Acta **64**, 29 (1973).
- (28) J. Korkisch, Handbook of Ion Exchange Resins: Their Application to Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1989.
- (29) M. Hirata, private communication.
- (30) Ch. E. Düllmann, W. Brüchle, R. Dressler, K. Eberhardt, B. Eichler, R. Eichler, H. W. Gäggeler, T. N. Ginter, F. Glaus, K. E. Gregorich, D. C. Hoffman, E. Jäger, D. T. Jost, U. W. Kirbach, D. M. Lee, H. Nitsche, J. B. Patin, V. Pershina, D. Piguet, Z. Qin, M. Schädel, B. Schausten, E. Schimpf, H.-J. Schött, S. Soverna, R. Sudowe, P. Thörle, S. N. Timokhin, N. Trautmann, A. Türler, A. Vahle, G. Wirth, A. B. Yakushev, and P. M. Zielinski, Nature, **418**, 859 (2002).